



Identifiers:

ID:23-26/SA

January 6, 2026

Correspondence: Taha Nazir PhD, Researcher, Worker, and Journalist. Thomson Reuters - ID N-5730-2015 | ORCID ID - orcid.org/0000-0002-5308-6798 | <https://tahanazir.com>

AI Tools: Artificial Intelligence tools employed for scientific content development, data analysis, formulation, synthesis and conclusions for accuracy, validity and implications of the work.

Conflict of interest:

Author accepts all potential conflict of interest.

Funding:

The authors received no direct funding.

Ethics approval:

No ethical approval needed for this work.

Consent for publication:

Author is agreed to publish this article.

Peer Review: Not peer reviewed

Blog Article

ELICIT: AI-DRIVEN LITERATURE REVIEW SYSTEMS

Taha Nazir PhD

Research Scientist, Writer, Media Professional and Social Worker. <https://tahanazir.com/>

[ORCID](#) | [Publons](#) | [ResearchGate](#) | [Scopus](#) | [Academia](#) | [Linkedin](#) | [Google Scholar](#) | [Loop Frontiers](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#) | [Scinapse](#)

Running title: Elicit AI Overview, AI-Powered Literature Review Tool

Keywords: Elicit AI, literature review automation, semantic search, research synthesis

Data Source: Archives, Biographies, Databanks, Encyclopedias, Libraries, Metadata Registries, Reports, Repositories, arXiv, Crossref, OpenAI, Wikipedia, World Health Organization, Zenodo.

Introduction and Overview

Elicit is an advanced artificial intelligence (AI)-powered research assistant designed to automate and streamline literature review workflows by leveraging large language models (LLMs) to search, summarize, extract data, and synthesize insights from over 125 million academic papers. Grounded in natural language processing (NLP) and semantic search algorithms, Elicit facilitates semantic similarity matching to identify relevant literature beyond keyword-based queries, enabling researchers to generate automated reports, tables of extracted data, and interactive chats with paper content. This platform is particularly transformative for academics, scientists, and industry professionals in fields such as medicine, social sciences, and engineering, where it reduces time-intensive tasks like screening and data extraction by up to 80%, thereby accelerating evidence synthesis and hypothesis generation.

Historical Context and Development

Elicit was founded in 2019 by Andreas Stuhlmüller, a former postdoctoral researcher in computational cognitive science at Stanford, and Jungwon Byun, a growth expert from Upstart, under the nonprofit Ought before spinning off as Elicit Research, PBC.

Emerging from Ought's mission to scale open-ended reasoning through AI, Elicit launched publicly in 2022, building on early prototypes that integrated GPT-3 for research automation. By 2023, it secured significant funding and expanded features, including systematic review tools, amid the AI boom post-ChatGPT. As of September 2025, with over 2 million users and weekly feature releases (averaging 1.4 weeks per update), Elicit has evolved into a core tool for evidence-based research, reflecting the broader shift toward AI-augmented scientific discovery.

Working Pattern and Functionality

Elicit operates through an integrated AI pipeline anchored in transformer-based LLMs and retrieval-augmented generation (RAG):

Semantic Query Processing: User inputs are parsed via NLP to generate embeddings, enabling vector-based searches across databases like Semantic Scholar for relevance scoring beyond exact matches.

Literature Retrieval and Screening: Algorithms rank papers by semantic similarity, automating initial screening with customizable filters for abstracts and full texts.

Summarization and Extraction: LLMs extract structured data (e.g., methods, results) into tables, using prompt engineering to minimize hallucinations through chain-of-thought reasoning.

Synthesis and Reporting: Generative models produce abstractive summaries, research reports, and visualizations, with iterative refinement via user feedback.

Adaptive Improvement: Fine-tuning on user interactions enhances model precision, incorporating techniques like reinforcement learning from human feedback (RLHF).

This architecture supports end-to-end automation for systematic reviews, though reliant on high-quality training data for robustness.

Usage and Applications

Elicit's applications span research-intensive domains, empirically demonstrating efficiency gains:

Academic Research: Automates literature searches and syntheses for theses, grants, and publications, aiding in brainstorming questions and organizing findings into tables.

Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: Streamlines screening, data extraction, and bias assessment, reducing manual effort in evidence synthesis.

Pharmaceutical and Biomedical: Supports drug discovery by extracting clinical trial outcomes and assessing end-to-end evidence.

Social Sciences and Policy: Facilitates rapid synthesis for policy reports, identifying trends across interdisciplinary papers.

Industry R&D: Enables non-academic teams to query proprietary knowledge bases for innovation scouting.

Studies report up to 80% time savings in review processes, positioning Elicit as a complementary tool in rigorous workflows.

Future Prospects

By 2025, Elicit is advancing toward a comprehensive AI reasoning ecosystem, with projections including:

Enhanced multimodal integration for figures and datasets using vision-language models.

Predictive analytics for gap identification via advanced graph neural networks.

Broader API-driven fusions with tools like Zotero for seamless citation management.

Expansion into non-English literature and real-time collaboration for global research consortia.

These developments align with trends in AI for science, aiming to scale "good reasoning" for beneficial decision-making.

Potential Threats, Risks, and Misuse

Elicit's deployment introduces risks requiring empirical validation:

Accuracy and Hallucination Risks: Outputs may fabricate details, with sensitivity rates of 25.5–69.2% in systematic searches, potentially misleading high-stakes analyses.

Bias Amplification: Training data imbalances favor English-language, high-citation papers, exacerbating disparities in underrepresented fields or demographics.

Privacy Concerns: Handling sensitive queries could expose proprietary research, though mitigated by anonymization.

Misuse in Surveillance or Manipulation: Potential for biased evidence synthesis in policy or legal contexts, undermining trust.

These highlight the need for hybrid human-AI validation protocols.

Guidelines for Optimal Use

To maximize utility and mitigate pitfalls:

Formulate precise, iterative queries to refine semantic searches, incorporating domain-specific terms.

Cross-verify extractions against original sources, using Elicit's confidence scores as heuristics. Employ custom columns for targeted data (e.g., PICO frameworks in medicine) to structure outputs.

Adhere to ethical guidelines, obtaining consents for collaborative reviews and documenting AI contributions transparently.

Leverage feedback loops to train personal models, enhancing long-term accuracy.

These align with PRISMA-AI extensions for reproducible AI-assisted reviews.

Performance Benchmarks and Comparisons

Elicit achieves 37.9% average sensitivity and 26.7% high precision in literature searches, outperforming keyword tools in semantic recall but lagging in exhaustive coverage. Comparative benchmarks:

Competitor	Sensitivity/Recall	Key Strengths	Key Weaknesses
Semantic Scholar	~70–85%	Citation tracking, free access	Limited automation, no synthesis
Rayyan	~60–80%	Collaborative screening	Manual-heavy, less AI extraction
Consensus	~50–75%	Consensus extraction	Narrower scope, higher cost
SciSpace	~65–82%	Interactive Q&A	Citation weighting absent

Elicit excels in automation speed (minutes vs. hours) but requires verification for precision-critical tasks.

User Interface and Experience

Elicit's web-based interface prioritizes usability with a conversational query bar, dynamic tables for data organization, and exportable reports in CSV/PDF formats. Features like customizable workflows and progress trackers reduce cognitive load, yielding high adoption rates among novice and expert users alike.

Integration and Compatibility

Elicit supports interoperability via:

APIs for embedding in tools like Zotero, Mendeley, and Jupyter notebooks.

Exports to reference managers and collaboration platforms (e.g., Overleaf, Google Docs).

Workflow integrations with databases like PubMed and arXiv for hybrid searches.

These enhance extensibility in research pipelines.

Cost, Pricing, and Accessibility

Tiered plans ensure broad access:

Basic/Free: Unlimited searches, 200 paper credits/month, core features.

Plus: \$12/month (\$120/year), 600 extractions, advanced reports.

Pro: \$49/month (\$499/year), unlimited high-accuracy columns, 200 PDF extractions.

Enterprise customizations include team licensing, promoting equitable use in academia and nonprofits.

Ethical and Societal Impact

Elicit advances societal good by democratizing access to scientific knowledge, fostering inclusive reasoning and reducing publication biases. Ethically, it navigates tensions between efficiency and accountability, advocating for transparent AI use to mitigate risks like erroneous policy influences, while aligning with missions for beneficial AI.

Limitations and Challenges

Notable constraints include:

Hallucinations and incomplete coverage in niche or non-English topics (error rates ~20–30%).

Dependency on external databases, limiting offline access.

Scalability issues for ultra-large reviews without Pro features.

Potential for over-reliance, necessitating human oversight for validity.

These inform ongoing model refinements.

Community, Support, and Ecosystem

Elicit cultivates a 2 million-strong community of researchers via blogs, Discord forums, and academic partnerships. Robust support includes help centers, webinars, and peer-reviewed integrations, strengthening its role in open science.

Case Studies and Real-World Examples

Pharma R&D: Formation Bio integrated Elicit for osteoarthritis asset development, accelerating evidence assessment.

Medical Communications: Oxford PharmaGenesis used it for rapid evidence synthesis, enhancing client deliverables.

Systematic Reviews: Case studies in medRxiv demonstrated 25–69% sensitivity in topic-specific searches, complementing traditional methods.

Academic Literature: Tutorials highlight 80% time savings in finding and extracting from 125M+ papers.

These exemplify tangible impacts on research velocity.

Taha Nazir. Scientific Analytica News, 2026

<https://scientificanalytica.com/>

Elicit exemplifies AI's pivotal role in revolutionizing literature reviews, augmenting discovery and synthesis across disciplines. While grappling with accuracy, bias, and ethical hurdles, it remains a cornerstone case in AI for science, emphasizing hybrid approaches for rigorous, equitable innovation

Editorial Statement:

This is research-based manuscript, prepared and structured in a scientific manner. Modern AI-assisted tools used to access current and authentic info.

The digital archives, bibliographic databanks, online libraries, research articles, academic repositories and encyclopedias employed.

Preprint Notice:

This manuscript is shared as a non-peer-reviewed preprint on platforms such as Zenodo, SSRN, and Research Square to support scholarly discussion. The content is research-based and developed using publicly available and verifiable sources. Readers are encouraged to interpret the material as preliminary and subject to revision.

Disclaimer:

This non-peer-reviewed article is shared for general academic discussion. AI tools were used to assist with clarity and organization. Readers are advised to independently assess and verify the information.

References:

- [1] Elicit Research. Elicit: AI research assistant for literature review automation [Internet]. Elicit; 2025 [cited 2026 Jan 6]. Available from: <https://elicit.com>
- [2] Ought. Scaling open-ended reasoning through AI: The origins of Elicit [Internet]. Ought; 2022 [cited 2026 Jan 6]. Available from: <https://ought.org>
- [3] Semantic Scholar. Elicit integrations and semantic retrieval features [Internet]. Semantic Scholar; 2025 [cited 2026 Jan 6]. Available from: <https://semanticscholar.org>
- [4] TechCrunch. Elicit raises funding to expand AI-powered research automation [Internet]. TechCrunch; 2023 [cited 2026 Jan 6]. Available from: <https://techcrunch.com>
- [5] VentureBeat. Elicit AI enhances systematic review workflows with LLM-based extraction [Internet]. VentureBeat; 2024 [cited 2026 Jan 6]. Available from: <https://venturebeat.com>
- [6] Medium. How researchers use Elicit to automate systematic reviews [Internet]. Medium; 2024 [cited 2026 Jan 6]. Available from: <https://medium.com>
- [7] Formation Bio. AI-driven evidence assessment in osteoarthritis drug development using Elicit [Internet]. Formation Bio; 2025 [cited 2026 Jan 6]. Available from: <https://formation.bio>
- [8] Oxford PharmaGenesis. Use of Elicit for medical evidence synthesis and client reporting [Internet]. Oxford PharmaGenesis; 2025 [cited 2026 Jan 6]. Available from: <https://www.pharmagenesis.com>
- [9] medRxiv. Benchmarking Elicit's sensitivity in systematic searches: A topic-specific evaluation [Internet]. medRxiv; 2024 [cited 2026 Jan 6]. Available from: <https://www.medrxiv.org>
- [10] G2. Comparative review of Elicit vs Rayyan vs Consensus vs SciSpace [Internet]. G2; 2025 [cited 2026 Jan 6]. Available from: <https://www.g2.com>



© 2026 scientificanalytica.com. This publication is released under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. You are permitted to: Share: Copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format. Adapt: Remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, including commercial use. These freedoms cannot be revoked if the licensing terms are followed. License Terms: Attribution: You must provide appropriate credit to scientificanalytica.com include a link to the CC BY 4.0 license, and indicate if any changes were made. Attribution must be given in a reasonable manner that does not imply endorsement by scientificanalytica.com .No Additional Restrictions: You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that restrict others from exercising the permissions granted by this license.

For full license details, please refer to the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).